

# Salt Pond Gas Turbine Relocation Project

March 2001



### **Executive Summary**

Transmission-related outages make up a substantial portion of the total outage statistics in areas served by long radial transmission lines. A significant improvement in the reliability of service to these areas can be achieved by locating generation facilities on such radial lines.

A single radial transmission line historically served the Burin Peninsula. When Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro completed a second transmission line to this area in 1990, the need for local generation was greatly reduced. A review of operating records for the 13.4 MW Salt Pond gas turbine (GT) shows that on only 6 occasions over the past 10 years has the unit been operated to provide emergency generation for a transmission-related outage (3 of these instances were scheduled outages). Based on historical data, relocating this unit would increase SAIDI and SAIFI by an estimated 1.1 hours and 0.5 instances respectively for approximately 3,700 customers on the Burin Peninsula. The Salt Pond GT could be much better utilized if it were located in an area presently served by a single radial transmission line.

A review of transmission-related SAIDI and SAIFI reliability statistics indicated that the areas with the greatest need for transmission line improvements or local generation are Wesleyville, Twillingate, Trepassey and Old Perlican. As an aid in determining the site at which the Salt Pond GT would provide the greatest benefit, the cost per minute of reduction in customer outages was calculated for relocating the GT to each of these areas. This cost was also calculated for other possible solutions for the reliability problems in these areas. The other alternatives considered were: paralleling the existing transmission line with a second line, locating new stationary diesels in the area, and purchasing new mobile diesel generating units. The analysis indicated that relocating the Salt Pond GT is the most cost effective solution to the transmission reliability problem in each of the areas studied with the exception of Old Perlican. Of these areas, locating the unit in Wesleyville will provide the greatest overall benefit with a ratio of \$0.613 per customer minute of outage reduced.

Another important factor considered in deciding where to relocate the Salt Pond GT was matching the load in the area with the capacity of the unit. The Trepassey and Old Perlican areas presently have 11.2 and 10.9 MVA of peak load respectively. However, the Salt Pond GT would be oversized with respect to the loads in the Trepassey and Old Perlican areas and there are options are available for improving reliability in these areas.

It is recommended that the Salt Pond Gas Turbine be relocated to Wesleyville. It is recommended that environmental registration and equipment design/ordering be completed in 2001. However, the GT should not be taken out of service in Salt Pond until June 2002 with project completion in November 2002. The total capital cost of this project is estimated to be \$2,906,000. Of this amount, \$650,000 will be required to be spent on unit upgrades within the next year or two regardless of the relocation.

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	i
1 Introduction	1
2 Gas Turbine Utilization on the Burin Peninsula	1
3 Project Decision Criteria/Alternatives	2
3.1 Alternatives to Salt Pond GT Relocation	
3.2 Reductions in Customer Minutes of Outage	
3.3 Economic Analysis	
3.4 Alternative Sites	4
3.5 Decision Criteria	
3.6 Environmental Concerns	
4 Recommendation	10
5 Detailed Project Cost Estimates/Schedule	11
5.1 Capital Costs	
5.1.1 Mechanical	11
5.1.2 Civil	11
5.1.3 Electrical	12
5.1.4 Substations	12
5.1.5 Communications	12
5.2 Operating Costs	
5.3 Linkage with Distribution Automation Project	13

# Appendices

- A: Reliability Statistics
- B: Revenue Requirement/Present Worth Spreadsheets
- C: Capital Cost Estimates
- D: Operating Cost Estimates
- E: Levelizing Worksheets

### 1 Introduction

Long radial transmission lines currently serve a number of areas within Newfoundland Power's (NP) service territory. The reliability of service to these areas is presently of concern, as indicated by the SAIDI and SAIFI statistics provided in Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix A. NP has studied the available alternatives for improving reliability to these areas. These include: paralleling the existing radial transmission line with a second line, installing a new source of generation at the end of the transmission line, or relocating an existing generator that may be underutilized at its present location. With regard to the last option, NP has identified its two gas turbine units at Salt Pond and Greenhill on the Burin Peninsula as currently being underutilized.

### 2 Gas Turbine Utilization on the Burin Peninsula

The Burin Peninsula is one area which until 1990 was also served by a single radial transmission line. To ensure reliability of service, NP had installed local generating capacity on the Burin Peninsula totaling 41.3 MW. This generating capacity is comprised of three hydro plants and two gas turbines. In late 1990, a second Burin Peninsula transmission line (TL219) was completed by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (NLH) to provide additional security and voltage support for the power supply to this area. TL219 significantly reduced the need for local generation on the peninsula for reliability purposes.

The Salt Pond and Greenhill gas turbines (GT) provide the bulk of NP's local generating capacity on the Burin Peninsula. The Salt Pond GT has a demonstrated capacity of 13.4 MW (nameplate capacity of 14.7 MW) and the Greenhill GT has a demonstrated capacity of 22.5 MW (nameplate capacity of 25 MW). From 1991 to 2000, these GTs have both been operated together on only 6 occasions to provide emergency generation for this area. Of these 6 starts, 3 have been in response to scheduled outages.

Based on this information, the generating capacity provided by these GTs is not being fully utilized from a reliability perspective. Relocating one or both of these units could provide much greater reliability benefits to areas served by long radial transmission lines.

Although the GTs have rarely been called upon since the completion of TL219, there have been circumstances whereby the presence of these units has prevented or mitigated an outage on the Burin peninsula. To evaluate the impact of relocating either of the GTs, operating data for the 1991-2000 period was collected and matched with customer interruption reports. Depending on the reason given for unit operation, and whether or not one or both of the gas turbines were operating, an estimate was made of the expected increase in customer minutes of outage on the Burin peninsula should one of the GTs be relocated.

The impact of relocating the Salt Pond unit on Burin area reliability is relatively slight. Approximately 3,700 customers will see an increase in SAIFI of 0.5 (or 1 additional

outage every 2 years) and an increase in SAIDI of 1.1 hours. Relocating the Greenhill GT would affect approximately 6,100 customers with a similar increase in SAIFI of 0.5 and an increase in SAIDI of 0.8 hours. These impacts assume that only one of the GTs is relocated and that the past 10 years of data is representative of future operating conditions. As shown in Appendix A, the customers served by Salt Pond substation have experienced relatively few outages (SAIFI=3.1) and outages of a relatively short-duration (SAIDI=2.1) on the transmission system since 1990.

### 3 Project Decision Criteria/Alternatives

Initially, consideration was given to seven areas presently served by transmission lines greater than 30 km in length. The list of seven areas, together with general information regarding the load and service reliability for each area is provided in Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix A.

Based on the SAIDI and SAIFI statistics for each area and considering the size of the Salt Pond unit and the number of customers that would benefit from the relocation, it was determined that the Placentia, Port Aux Basques and Baie Verte areas would not be considered for relocation of the GT.

The remaining four areas selected for further study included:

- Wesleyville
- Twillingate
- Trepassey
- Old Perlican

This report will investigate the potential benefits of locating one of the Burin GTs to one of these four areas presently served by a single radial transmission line. As the Greenhill GT is larger and more problematic to relocate, this study will focus on relocating the Salt Pond GT to an area that is presently without backup generation. However, for comparative cost purposes, relocating the Greenhill unit has been included as an alternative option for areas with higher load

#### 3.1 Alternatives to Salt Pond GT Relocation

The alternative solutions to reliability problems in these areas include: paralleling the existing radial transmission line with a second line, locating a new stationary power plant at the end of the transmission line, or purchasing mobile generating capacity which could supply the local loads in these areas in the event of transmission line faults. Relocation of the larger Greenhill gas turbine was also considered as a possible alternative for the two sites having peak loads greater than the capacity of the Salt Pond unit (Wesleyville and Twillingate). The purchase of smaller capacity stationary generating units was also considered as an alternative for the areas where peak loads are currently substantially less than 13 MVA (Trepassey and Old Perlican).

The costs of paralleling the existing transmission lines were based on budgetary estimates provided by the Electrical Engineering group of Power Systems. These estimates assumed a standard cost of \$75,000/km of 66 kV transmission line. The line lengths used assumed that the new line would be constructed along the same corridor as the existing line for each area.

The cost estimates for new diesel generation were based on budgetary quotes provided by generating suppliers. For the Wesleyville and Twillingate sites, new (out-of-the box) generating units providing 13 MW of capacity were considered. This alternative was also considered for the Trepassey and Old Perlican sites. However, at these latter two locations, smaller refurbished units were also evaluated as a possible alternative to the GT relocation. The refurbished units are less expensive in terms of \$/kW, but the estimated asset lives are shorter and the reliability of such units may require further investigation should this alternative be pursued. The substation modifications, civil works and controls/auxiliaries estimates for all generation alternatives were based on the estimates prepared for the GT relocation. System benefits of new sources of generation were considered in the analysis by way of NP's capacity credit. As the need for new generation is not anticipated until 2007, no capacity credit was included in the analysis before that time.

Upgrading of the existing radial transmission line was not considered as an alternative in this study as such efforts would not be expected to positively impact reliability as much as the other alternatives being considered. Also, quantifying the benefits of the upgrading option would be difficult and therefore comparisons with other options would not be meaningful. Regardless of any decision to install local generation in these areas, NP is committed to continued upgrading and maintenance on the existing radial transmission lines to all these areas.

### 3.2 Reductions in Customer Minutes of Outage

For each of these areas, an estimate of the reduction in customer minutes of outage that could be realized through additional generation or transmission initiatives was calculated using historical interruption data. The data available covered the period January 1990 up to and including August 2000. The estimates were based on the assumption that all unscheduled transmission related outages exceeding 15 minutes would have been prevented if a gas turbine had been located at the end of the line in question. In addition, all scheduled transmission related outages and all scheduled NLH related outages would not have occurred.

Estimates of the reduction in customer minutes of outage vary for the transmission alternatives when compared with the generation options. This is due to the fact that the benefits for the generation alternatives are calculated considering a time lag (assumed to be 15 minutes) in responding to unscheduled outages. This lag would not occur in the case of a transmission line back-up. In addition, outages due to the loss of NLH infeed would not be alleviated with the transmission option. Reductions in these outages were not considered to be a benefit of this alternative as was the case for the generation

alternatives. The analysis has not considered the possibility that both transmission lines could fail in a single event. Neither has the capacity of the transmission line to serve loads greater than that estimated for the generation alternatives been valued. To some extent these study limitations will offset one another.

### 3.3 Economic Analysis

All costs used in the project justification and comparison of alternatives are incremental. The cost of any facility/system upgrades that would have been conducted within the next five years, regardless of decisions arising from this study, have been subtracted from the cost estimate for the appropriate alternative (after adjustments for timing differences). This applies primarily to the GT relocation alternative. Incremental operating costs were also used for the justification, which are shown as net of any quantifiable operating savings which would be realized.

Using a revenue requirement analysis, the present worth value of each of the alternatives was determined. The analysis accounted for the differences in asset lives, depreciation rates and CCA categories of the various assets. Copies of the revenue requirement analysis output spreadsheets are contained in Appendix B of this report.

The resulting present worth values were then levelized over a sixty year period and divided by the estimated reduction in customer minutes of outage per year. The resulting numbers provides a useful comparison of the costs and benefits of each option and is an important tool in determining which alternative is the best value for the money invested. A breakdown of the calculation of the Levelized Cost per Customer Minute can be found in Appendix D.

### 3.4 Alternative Sites

### Wesleyville

The radial transmission line to Wesleyville originates at Gambo substation and serves 4 substations located at Hare Bay, Trinity, Greenspond, and Wesleyville. The 1999 peak loads at these substations were 2.9 MVA, 2.4 MVA, 0.8 MVA and 10.1 MVA respectively, for a total of 16.2 MVA. The 13.4 MW Salt Pond GT does not have the capacity to supply this entire load at peak. However, it is assumed that the total load from all of these stations could be carried for 82% of the year, and at all stations except Hare Bay for the entire year.

The Greenhill GT was also considered as an alternative at this site. This 22.5 MW unit would be capable of serving the entire load for this line at all times of year.

The potential annual reductions in customer minutes of outage at each station are provided in table 3-1. This table also provides the estimated benefit provided by a parallel transmission line and the various generation options.

Table 3-1

	SAIFI Improve.	SAIDI Improve.	Reducti		er Minutes ( Year	of Outage per
Sub.	Reloc	ate Salt Pon	d GT	Relocate Greenhill GT	New 13MW Diesel	Parallel Transmission Line
WES	4.3	8.8 hrs	885,900	885,900	885,900	926,800
GPD	4.2	8.2 hrs	110,600	110,600	110,600	116,700
TRN	3.6	5.9 hrs	234,800	234,800	234,800	249,300
HBS	3.3	2.9 hrs	135,400	143,100	135,400	150,200
Burin	(0.5)	(1.1 hrs)	(255,700)	(315,100)	-	-
Total	-	-	1,111,000	1,051,600	1,366,700	1,443,000

The capital and operating cost estimates for the Wesleyville site for each alternative are provided in table 3-2. These costs are based on the assumption that the GT or new generation would be located at the existing Wesleyville substation site. The estimated costs per minute of reduction in customer outages by implementing each alternative are also provided in this table. More detail regarding the cost estimates for each alternative is provided in Appendix B of this report.

Table 3-2

Alternative	Expected Life of Asset	Initial Incremental Capital Cost	Net Operating Cost per Year	Levelized Cost per Customer Minute
Relocate Salt Pond GT	15	\$2,256,000	\$52,000	\$0.613
Relocate Greenhill GT	15	\$2,800,000	\$52,000	\$0.704
Transmission Line	29	\$7,225,000	\$90,000	\$0.740
New 13MW Stationary Diesel	28	\$8,300,000	\$108,000	\$0.939
New 13MW Mobile Diesel	28	\$8,740,000	\$108,000	\$0.983

### **Twillingate**

The radial transmission line to Twillingate originates at Clarke's Head (where transmission line 142L terminates) and serves 4 NP substations located at Summerford, Twillingate, Indian Cove (off SUM-01 feeder) and Boyd's Cove. No NP load is served by the Boyd's Cove substation but TL254, which serves NLH customers on Change Islands and Fogo, originates from this station. For the purposes of this study, no distinction has been made between NLH customers in Fogo and other NP customers as NP transmission lines serve all of these customers. The 1999 peak loads at these substations were 8.1 MVA (including Indian Cove), 7.2 MVA and 6.5 MVA respectively, for a total of 21.8 MVA. The 13.4 MW Salt Pond GT does not have the capacity to supply this entire load at peak. However, it was assumed that the total load from all of these stations could be carried for 62% of the year, and at Twillingate and Summerford substations for 87% of the year.

The Greenhill GT was also considered as an alternative at this site. This 22.5 MW unit was assumed to be capable of serving the entire load along this line at all times of the year.

The potential annual reductions in customer minutes of outage at each station are provided in table 3-3. This table also provides the estimated benefit provided by a parallel transmission line and the various generation options.

Table 3-3

	SAIFI Improve.	I		Reduction in Customer Minutes of Outage per Year						
Sub.  Relocate Salt Pond		d GT	Relocate Greenhill GT	New 13MW Diesel	Parallel Transmission Line					
TWG	4.6	7.2 hrs	691,700	691,700	691,700	759,900				
SUM	2.6	2.8 hrs	444,600	444,600	444,600	492,900				
IND	2.6	3.5 hrs	74,000	74,000	74,000	80,500				
Fogo	1.3	1.1 hrs	78,700	78,700	78,700	94,600				
Burin	(0.5)	(1.1 hrs)	(255,700)	(315,100)	-	-				
Total	-		1,033,300	973,900	1,289,000	1,427,900				

The capital and operating cost estimates for the Twillingate site for each alternative are provided in table 3-4 below. These costs are based on the assumption that the GT or new generation would be located at the existing Twillingate substation site. The estimated costs per customer minute of outage reduced by implementing each alternative are also provided in this table. More detail regarding the cost estimates for each alternative is provided in Appendix B of this report.

Table 3-4

Relocate Greenhill GT Fransmission Line New 13MW Stationary Diesel	Expected Life of Asset	Initial Incremental Capital Cost	Net Operating Cost per Year	Levelized Cost per Customer Minute
Relocate Salt Pond GT	15	\$2,225,000	\$55,500	\$0.661
Relocate Greenhill GT	15	\$2,885,000	\$55,500	\$0.776
Transmission Line	29	\$6,430,000	\$80,000	\$0.664
New 13MW Stationary Diesel	28	\$8,385,000	\$111,500	\$1.008
New 13MW Mobile Diesel	28	\$8,825,000	\$111,500	\$1.054

### Trepassey

The radial transmission line to Trepassey originates at Blaketown substation and serves 3 substations located at St. Catherines, Riverhead, and Trepassey. The St. Catherines substation was completed in 2000, and therefore interruption data for the load served by this station is limited. The analysis conducted as part of this study considered only Trepassey and Riverhead substation data. The actual benefits attributable to the Trepassey alternatives should exceed these estimates due to the fact that some of the load now served by St. Catherines was previously served by Blaketown substation and therefore would not have been included in this analysis. The 1999 peak loads at the Riverhead and Trepassey substations were 5.8 MVA and 3.7 MVA respectively. The estimated 35% of the Blaketown 02 feeder load transferred to St. Catherine's would add approximately 1.7 MVA to this 1999 peak for a total of 11.2 MVA.

The Salt Pond GT would have excess capacity in handling this entire load at peak and with negligible (or even negative) annual load growth, this unit would be oversized for the load it would be serving in this area for many years. This is undesirable from the perspective of underutilization of generating resources and the transmission losses associated with transporting energy great distances to larger load centers. In addition, such load mismatches may give rise to system capacity concerns should an outage occur on the transmission line coincident with a system peak.

The potential annual reduction in customer minutes of outage at each station is provided in table 3-5 below. This table also provides the estimated benefit provided by a parallel transmission line and the various generation options.

Table 3-5

	SAIFI Improve.	SAIDI Improve.	Reduction in Customer Minutes of Outage per Year						
Sub.	Re	locate Salt Pond	New Diesel Generation	Parallel Transmission Line					
TRP	3.4	15.9 hrs	651,100	651,100	652,000				
RVH	2.9	8.9 hrs	629,500	629,500	622,500				
Burin	(0.5)	(1.1 hrs)	(255,700)		-				
Total		-	1,024,900	1,280,600	1,274,500				

The capital and operating cost estimates for the Trepassey site for each alternative are provided in table 3-6 on the following page. The estimated costs per minute of reduction in customer outages by implementing each alternative are also provided in this table. More detail regarding the cost estimates for each alternative is provided in Appendix B of this report.

Table 3-6

Alternative	Expected Life of Asset	Initial Incremental Capital Cost	Net Operating Cost per Year	Levelized Cost per Customer Minute
Relocate Salt Pond GT	15	\$2,150,000	\$64,000	\$0.669
Refurbished 10MW Diesel	23	\$6,000,000	\$120,000	\$0.808
Transmission Line	29	\$7,595,000	\$95,000	\$0.882
New 13MW Stationary Diesel	28	\$8,310,000	\$120,000	\$1.017
New 13MW Mobile Diesel	28	\$8,750,000	\$120,000	\$1.063

#### Old Perlican

The radial transmission line to Old Perlican originates at Hearts Content substation and serves 2 substations located at New Chelsea and Old Perlican. The 1999 peak loads at these substations were 3.3 MVA and 7.6 MVA respectively, for a total of 10.9 MVA. Although 4.3 MW of hydroelectric generation is available at New Chelsea, this generation is not located at the terminus of the line and it does not have the capacity to serve the entire load in this area for the majority of the year.

The Salt Pond GT would have excess capacity in handling this entire load at peak and with very small annual load growth, this unit will be oversized for the load it would be serving in this area for many years. This is undesirable from the perspective of underutilization of generating resources and the transmission losses associated with transporting energy great distances to larger load centers. In addition, such load mismatches may give rise to system capacity concerns should an outage occur on the transmission line coincident with a system peak.

The potential annual reduction in customer minutes of outage at each station is provided in table 3-7 below. This table also provides the estimated benefit provided by a parallel transmission line and the various generation options.

Table 3-7

	SAIFI Improve.	SAIDI Improve.	Reduction in	Customer Minutes of Outage   Year				
Sub.	Rel	ocate Salt Pon	i GT	New Diesel Generation	Parallel Transmission Line			
OLP	4.0	15.5 hrs	1,534,400	1,534,400	1,539,600			
NCH	2.0	7.5 hrs	398,100	398,100	383,000			
Burin	(0.5)	(1.1 hrs)	1.1 hrs) (255,700)		-			
Total	-	-	1,676,800	1,932,500	1,922,600			

The capital and operating cost estimates for the Old Perlican site for each alternative are provided in table 3-8. The estimated costs per minute of reduction in customer outages by implementing each alternative are also provided in this table. More detail regarding the cost estimates for each alternative is provided in Appendix B of this report.

Table 3-8

Refurbished 8MW Diesel Transmission Line New 13MW Stationary Diesel	Expected Life of Asset	Initial Incremental Capital Cost	Net Operating Cost per Year	Levelized Cost per Customer Minute
Relocate Salt Pond GT	15	\$1,800,000	\$66,500	\$0.384
Refurbished 8MW Diesel	23	\$5,000,000	\$122,500	\$0.537
Transmission Line	29	\$3,660,000	\$55,000	\$0.291
New 13MW Stationary Diesel	28	\$7,960,000	\$122,500	\$0.652
New 13MW Mobile Diesel	28	\$8,400,000	\$122,500	\$0.683

### 3.5 Decision Criteria

From the analysis of the Levelized Cost per Customer Minute statistics, it is clear that for most of the areas considered, the lower cost option is achieved by relocating the Salt Pond GT. The exception is the Old Perlican area, where the parallel transmission line alternative has been determined to be the lower cost option to improve reliability.

If the Salt Pond unit is relocated, the load to be served at the destination chosen should be matched with the size of the unit. Loads for these areas are included in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix A and have been expressed in terms of the 1999 peak load. Load growth in all of the areas is considered very small, and in the case of some areas (such as Trepassey) loads have been declining in recent years. The demonstrated capacity of the Salt Pond unit is 13.4 MW. Therefore, the unit is significantly undersized with respect to the existing peak load it would serve in the Twillingate area, assuming no load growth in this area. Conversely, the Salt Pond GT is significantly oversized with respect to even the peak loads in the Trepassey and Old Perlican areas unless load growth accelerates significantly in these areas. While the total peak load in the Wesleyville area is greater than the Salt Pond GT capacity, this location does provide the best "fit" for the unit.

The Greenhill gas turbine (demonstrated capacity of 22.5 MW) would seem to provide a better fit for the Twillingate area, should local generation be further considered at this site. This option was not extensively considered as part of this study as NP's mechanical engineering group has indicated that this unit would provide a much greater technical challenge (and hence a much greater risk) to relocate. For the Twillingate and Wesleyville sites, the Greenhill unit was considered for comparative purposes. As may be seen from the benefits attributed to this alternative, the Greenhill GT does not appear to provide further reductions in customer outage minutes at Twillingate as the locations of the transmission line faults prevent additional generating capacity from being utilized. Capacity in excess of the Salt Pond GT's 13.4 MW does provide a very slight benefit to

the Wesleyville line (a reduction of 7,700 customer minutes of outage annually at Hare Bay substation).

#### 3.6 Environmental Concerns

Environmental issues surrounding this project present a risk in terms of feasibility, cost and schedule. These risks stem mainly from the decision to relocate the Salt Pond GT. The environmental risks do not change substantially with the choice of the unit's destination, although the Twillingate site is located within a protected public water supply area. There are primarily two categories of environmental risks associated with this project: risks associated with the environmental assessment process and risks related to the unit's emissions.

The relocation project would require registration as an undertaking under the Environmental Assessment Act. Within 45 days following registration, the minister will respond to the company with an indication of what, if any, further environmental assessment will be required. Previous experience with the proposed Port Aux Basques GT in 1992 would indicate that no further environmental assessment will be required for a project of this type. This is supported by an opinion recently received regarding the project from Jacques Whitford environmental consultants. However, should an Environmental Impact Statement be required, the project would be delayed as much as 2 years and the costs of preparing such a document would be in the range of \$100,000 to \$200,000.

In addition to this risk, relocation of the Salt Pond GT may subject the unit to closer scrutiny by the Department of Environment and Labour from an emissions perspective. Informal discussions with this department have indicated that the standards to which the unit is subjected would not change regardless of its location, although application of these standards are somewhat influenced by site and operating conditions. Modeling will be required to determine if the effects of unit operation meet Provincial regulations. If the cost of adding emissions reduction technology were to be added to this project, this could represent a significant increase in the cost estimates prepared. However, it should be recognized that continued operation of this unit (even in Salt Pond) may require such modifications in the future.

### 4 Recommendation

Based on the analyses conducted and the additional considerations discussed above, it is recommended that the Salt Pond Gas Turbine be relocated to Wesleyville. This project provides the most economical means of improving reliability to this area, while not materially impacting reliability on the Burin peninsula. With the exception of the Old Perlican area, the Wesleyville GT site provides the best "bang for the buck" in service improvement expressed as levelized annual cost per customer minute of outage reduced. Better alternatives are available for improvement in the Old Perlican area and it would not make sense to locate a unit as large as the Salt Pond GT to this area.

In implementing the recommendation to relocate the Salt Pond GT to Wesleyville, it is suggested that the project schedule be developed to allow sufficient time to properly address likely public concerns and environmental issues. The uncertainty surrounding these issues dictates that completion of the project not be scheduled until late 2002.

### 5 Detailed Project Cost Estimates/Schedule

### 5.1 Capital Costs

The capital costs of the recommended alternative (relocation of Salt Pond gas turbine to Wesleyville) are detailed in Appendix B and described briefly in the following sections. It should be noted that the total capital cost estimate differs from that used in the project justification due to the inclusion of several related projects which have been identified as requiring completion regardless of the GT relocation. Specifically, these items include replacement of the governor and control logic (\$500,000 budgeted in 2002) and replacement of the air intake enclosure (\$150,000 budgeted in 2002).

#### 5.1.1 Mechanical

The mechanical estimate for this project includes disassembly, transport, reassembly and commissioning of the unit and all appurtenant mechanical equipment. This includes relocation of the fuel storage tanks, which were replaced in 2000. The only major mechanical component that will not be relocated is the air intake enclosure, which as noted above will be replaced in coordination with the relocation project. Contractors will conduct the majority of the mechanical work with supervision and commissioning conducted by NP staff. Also included in the mechanical estimate is \$80,000 to cover the cost of an auxiliary power unit (APU) at the Greenhill gas turbine. Presently, the Salt Pond unit (which has an APU) is used to AC start the unit at Greenhill. The Greenhill APU will be needed to maintain and enhance the dependability of that unit in emergency circumstances.

The costs of any enhanced emissions control or monitoring equipment required as a result of the relocation have not been included in these estimates.

#### **5.1.2** Civil

The civil works estimate for this project includes all necessary site work at the Wesleyville substation, unit and building foundations and the purchase and erection of a new GT enclosure. The cost of dismantling and transport of the existing enclosure at Salt Pond would be approximately equal to that of purchasing a new structure. Therefore, the project will involve the purchase of a new building for the Wesleyville site, allowing the existing Salt Pond structure to remain for the use of operations staff in Burin.

The civil estimate also includes site work and fencing for the Wesleyville substation modifications as well as site work and foundations for new equipment at Gambo, Hare The civil estimate also includes site work and fencing for the Wesleyville substation modifications as well as site work and foundations for new equipment at Gambo, Hare Bay, Trinity and Greenspond substations. The civil budget also includes an allowance for environmental consulting and works of \$50,000.

#### 5.1.3 Electrical

The electrical works associated with the Salt Pond GT relocation project includes design, purchase, installation and commissioning of new unit governor, sequencing system and fuel valve, in addition to new exhaust gas and vibration monitoring equipment. The estimate also includes the costs of relocation of all other GT auxiliaries.

### 5.1.4 Substations

The substation capital cost estimate includes the purchase, installation and commissioning of a breaker, switch and PTs at Wesleyville substation. The estimate includes purchase, installation and commissioning of a new switch at Trinity substation and miscellaneous modifications to Gambo and Hare Bay substations. This estimate also includes motorizing existing switches and configuring for remote control at these substations.

#### 5.1.5 Communications

The communications estimate includes relocation of the existing Salt Pond GT RTU, in addition to installation/modifications to RTUs at Wesleyville, Trinity, Hare Bay and Gambo substations to provide for remote operation of this unit.

#### 5.2 Operating Costs

Operating costs are detailed in Appendix C. As with the capital costs, the operating cost estimates used in the project justification reflect only the increased cost of operating the unit in Wesleyville. The estimated long-term operating costs of the unit if located in Salt Pond were subtracted from the total operating cost to arrive at the cost difference used in the justification portion of this report. These costs were based on recent historical operating costs (ie. 1999 and 2000) for parts/labour and Salt Pond GT fuel consumption data for the past 10 years.

Estimated operating costs for the Wesleyville GT consider additional labour required for unit maintenance and operation and the additional fuel consumption due to increased unit operation.

For the most part, the unit controls, substation equipment and communications infrastructure will be upgraded as needed to ensure that the need for human intervention in unit operation is minimized. However, it is simply not possible to eliminate the need for manned operation entirely. Operation of this unit in Wesleyville will be more costly

than the current situation in Salt Pond due to the proximity of the unit location at present to the area office in Burin.

The ability of the District crew in Wesleyville to handle this workload will be limited to weekly fuel dips/reconciliations and visual inspections as needed. Some additional operating duties could be handled by the District crew in the event of scheduled unit operations provided adequate training was conducted. During most unscheduled outages this crew would usually be required to conduct repair work on the line and therefore would be unavailable to operate the gas turbine. Electrical maintenance staff from Gander or Rattling Brook will be required to travel to Wesleyville. This increased workload at Gander may require upgrading a current temporary electrical maintenance position to full-time status. Mechanical maintenance will have to be handled by (at least) monthly visits from the existing staff in Burin. An allowance for training costs has been built into the capital cost estimates, as necessary. Employee relocation costs, if necessary, have not been included in these estimates. No job losses are anticipated in the Burin area as a result of the relocation. It has been assumed that any reduction in labour utilization in the operation and maintenance of generating facilities can be fully transferred to other aspects of NP's operation in the Burin area.

Fuel consumption was determined based on projected operating hours/loads from the reduction in customer minutes of outage analysis. A long-term fuel price per litre of \$0.35 was assumed.

Also included in the operating cost estimate is \$10,000 for a leased NewTel communications circuit.

### 5.3 Linkage with Distribution Automation Project

The distribution automation planned for the feeders served by 115L and 116L has a synergistic relationship with the Salt Pond GT relocation project, although both projects may be justified independently. Distribution automation will multiply the benefits provided by the gas turbine at Wesleyville by permitting better management of the load to be served. As the capacity of the Salt Pond gas turbine is less than the combined peak load of all substations on the Gambo-Wesleyville line, in some circumstances (particularly during cold-load pick up) this load will need to be sectionalized. The distribution automation project will permit much greater flexibility in this regard.

Conversely, the distribution automation project will benefit from the relocation of the Salt Pond GT to Wesleyville as coordinating work to coincide with the relocation effort can offset some of the costs of this project. As the substations along this line were scheduled for distribution automation in 2002, this will simply involve ensuring that the activities under each project are scheduled to coincide appropriately. For instance, the purchase, installation and configuration of RTUs at the substations along this radial transmission line have been included in the relocation costs as described in Section 4.1.4. Provided the equipment selection and installation considers the needs of both projects, some overall savings should be realized.

No adjustment to the budgeted costs of the GT relocation project has been made to account for this synergy. However, as each of these projects progress it is essential that the respective project managers maintain the lines of communication to maximize any potential savings.

Appendix A Reliability Statistics

Table 1

100 mg (100 mg 100 mg (100 mg)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	1990 to 2000 Reliability Data (11 yrs)											
	Radial 1999				Total Line	}		Termina	l Substation			
Area Served	Line Length (km)	Peak Load (MVA)	No. of Customers	Existing Generation (MW)	Avg. Ann. Customer Hours of Outage	SAIFI S	SAIDI (hrs)	No. of Customers	SAIFI SAIDI (hrs)			
Port Aux Basques	149	25.9	5228	15.7	49,720	5.1	9.5	1995	a 5.7 · • • • 11.1a			
Trepassey	87	11.2*	1624	1.4	21,860	4.4	13.5	683	5.3. 17.8			
Wesleyville	79	16.2	3339	<del>-</del>	26,650	5.5	8.0	1669	5.6 9.9			
Baie Verte	67	12.1	999	_	4,220	2.0	4.2	999	2.0			
Twillingate	64	21.8	5721	-	29,350	4.1	5,1	1603	5.7: 8.7			
Old Perlican	44	10.9	2539	4.3	34,280	3.7	13.5	1654	4.3 16.4			
Placentia	42	15.6	3095	_	25,720	4.9	8:3-	1191	7.2 12.9			
Salt Pond			-	-	The second		- 13 E	1731	3.1 2.1-			

Table 2

	i de la companya de		1995 to	1999 Reliab	ility Data (5 y)	rs): 👯 😘 🖽 📆		an Santan			
	Radial 1999				Total Line	e.	Termina	Terminal Substation			
Area Served	Line Length (km)	Peak Load (MVA)	No. of Customers	Existing Generation (MW)	Avg. Ann. Customer Hours of Outage	SAIFI SAIDI (hrs)	No. of Customers	995 3.8 4.0 683 6.4 26.0 669 4.4 10.2 999 2.7 5.0 603 4.3 8.4 654 6.1 14.8 191 5.2 6.1			
Port Aux Basques	149	25.9	5228	15.7	21,540	2.9. 4.1	1995	3.8 4.0			
Trepassey	87	11.2*	1624	1.4	29,020	5.1 17.9	683	6.4 26.0			
Wesleyville	79	16.2	3339		26,910	4.3 8.1	1669	4.4			
Baie Verte	67	12.1	999	-	5,000	2.7	999	2.7 5.0			
Twillingate	64	21.8	5721	-	26,490	2.5 4.6	1603				
Old Perlican	44	10.9	2539	4.3	28,740	5:0 4 - 11.3	1654	6.1 14.8			
Placentia	42	15.6	3095	-	13,800	4.0 4.5	1191	5.2 6.1			
Salt Pond	_	-		,		The state of the s	1731	4.6 2.1			

<sup>\*</sup> Includes estimate of load transferred from Blaketown substation.

Appendix B
Revenue Requirement/Present Worth Spreadsheets

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

Relocate Salt Pond GT to Wesleyville

				CAPITAL E	XPENUTURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE						
Generation				Transmission	Substation	Distribution		Revenue	Operating Costs t	Operating Benefits	<u>Net</u> Benefit	Present Worth Benefit	Completion Present Worth
Thermal 28.19 yrs	Hydro 49.50 yrs	Thermal 28.19 yrs	Hydro 49.5	29.59 yrs	36.36 yrs	29.59 yrs	12.90 yrs						Benefit
4% CCA	4% CCA	30% CCA	30% CCA	4% CCA	4% CCA	4% GCA	20% CCA						
					455.55		190,000	367,525	55,000	3,000	419,525	-386,944	-386,
1,586,000					480,000	o .	190,000	317,668					-702
								314,841	58,350				-993
								310,930					-1,259
								306,136					-1,502
								300,622					-1,724
								294,517				-202,500	-1,927
								287,927	67,643	3,690	-351,881	-184,298	-2,111
								280,936	69,672	3,800	-346,808	-167,535	-2,279
								273,612	71,763	3,914	-341,460	-152,141	-2,431
								266,010	73,915	4,032			-2,569
								258,173					
								241,782					
							295,000	271,437					
								244,680					
8550000								1,651,162					
								1,492,172					
								1,460,481					
								1,427,366					
								1,357,683			1,451,60		
								1,321,432			1 -1,418,167		
								1,284,404			1,384,04		
								1,246,696			1 -1,349,322		
								1,208,386			3 -1,314,09		-5,957
								1,156,564	115,158	6,28	1 -1,265,440	-154,667	-6,112
							430,000	1,171,065	118,610	6,470	-1,283,20		
								1,104,603	122,171	6,664			
								880,622					
								967,213			1,089,75		
								938,190					
								907,496					
								875,428					
								842,225					
								808,079					
					4 240 00			773,146					
					1,380,00			907,352 868,839					
								811,758					
							630,000	852,154					
							,	773,977					
								748,511			0 -923,22	5 -30,954	-7,22
								719,558					-7,25
								-354,540			4 169,18	5 4,826	-7,25
19500000								3,469,351					
								3,112,486					
								3,047,487					
								2,980,481					
								2,911,720					
								2,841,412					
								2,769,730					
							930,000	2,669,100			6 -2,903,90 3 -2,953,94		
							930,000	2,712,100 2,579,26			3 -2,953,9 <del>4</del> 1 -2,828,36		
								2,523,852			3 -2,026,30 2 -2,780,42		
								2,462,919			6 -2,727,18		
								2,397,45			4 -2,669,65		
										1 16.17	5 -2,608 64	1 -23.992	2 -7,960
								2,328,275 2,256,017	5 296,54		5 -2,608,64 0 -2,544,79		

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

### Relocate Salt Pond GT to Twillingate

					CAPITALE	XPENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital	Operating	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulative
<u>G</u>	ieneration Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmissio	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm		Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
	28.19 yrs	49.50 yrs	28.19 yrs	49.5	29.59 yrs	36,36 yrs	29.59 yrs	12.90 yrs						Benefit
	4% CCA	4% CCA	30% CCA	30% CCA	4% CCA	4% CCA	4% CCA	20% CCA						
P.														
2	1,465,000	)				560,000	D	200,000	361,473	60,000	4,500	416,973	-384,590	-384,59
3									311,765	61,800				-698,44
4									309,311	63,654				
5									305,723	65,564				
6									301,212	67,531				
7									295,948	69,556				
8									290,070	71,643				
9									283,686	73,792				
0									276,886	76,006				
1									269,740 262,307	78,286 80,635				-2,423,90 -2,562,3
2 3									254,632	83,054				
4									237,956	85,546				
5								295,000		88,112				-2,736,0
6								233,000	240,480	90,755				
7	8550000								1,647,186	93,478				
B	433444								1,488,422	96,282				-3,882,4
9									1,456,957	99,171				
0									1,424,070					
1									1,389,974	105,210				
2									1,354,845					
:3									1,318,824					
4									1,282,028			2 -1,388,37	2 216,267	
:5									1,244,552			1 -1,354,08	6 -194,545	-5,783,3
26									1,206,475	121,968	9,14	3 -1,319,29	5 -174,826	-5,958,1
7									1,154,887	125,627				
8								430,000	1,169,622	129,395	9,70	5 -1,289,31	3 -145,346	-6,258,8
9									1,103,396					
30									894,401	137,276				
31									972,093					
2									942,834	145,636				
33									911,904	150,005				
14									879,598					
35									846,156					
36									811,770					
37							_		776,596					
38						1,620,00	ю		940,375					
39									901,055					
10								ean e	843,453					
11								630,000						
12 13									804,598 778,579					
14									749,065					
15									-325,605					
16	19500000	1							3,497,706					
17	13300000								3,140,252					
18									3,074,656					
19									3,007,046					
50									2,937,672					
i1									2,866,745					
52									2,794,437					
3									2,693,178					
4								930,000						
5								•	2,602,055					
6									2,545,988					
7									2,484,395					
8									2,418,273					
									2,348,424					
59														
59 50									2,275,493	333,204	4 24,99	0 -2,583,70	6 -21,917	-8,015,

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

### Relocate Salt Pond GT to Trepassey

					CAPITAL E	XPENDITURE	IN YEAR BY						_	
G.	eneration	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmission	Cubutation	Distribution			Costs	Operating Benefits	Net Benefit	Present Worth Benefit	Cumulati Present Worth
	Thermal 28.19 yrs	Hydro 49.50 yrs	Thermal 28.19 yrs	Hydro 49.5	29.59 yrs	36.36 yrs	29.59 yrs	12.90 yrs	<u>requirement</u>				<del>DUTCINE</del>	Benefit
ì	4% CCA	4% CCA	30% CCA	30% CCA	4% CCA	4% CĆA	4% CCA	20% CCA						
	1,465,000					505,00		180,000	349,611	72,000	8,000	-413,611	-381,490	-381
	1,405,000					303,00	•	100,000	302,195	74,160				
									299,525	76,385				
									295,828	78,676				
					•				291,294	81,037				
									286,077	83,468				-1,71
									280,300	85,972		-356,719	-202,563	-1,914
									274,062	88,551	9,839	-352,774	-184,766	-2,099
									267,445	91,207	10,134	-348,518	-168,361	-2,26
									260,511	93,944	10,438	-344,01€	-153,280	-2,420
									253,313	96,762				
									245,893	99,665				
									230,368	102,655				
								265,000		105,734				
									231,537	108,906				
	8550000								1,637,986	112,174				
									1,478,922	115,539				
									1,447,384	119,005				
									1,414,525	122,575				
						• "			1,380,540	126,252				
									1,345,586	130,040				
									1,309,792	133,941				
									1,273,266	137,959				
									1,236,093	142,098				
									1,198,347	146,361				
									1,148,431	150,752				
								390,000		155,275 159,933				
									1,09 <b>5,</b> 345 885,840	164,731				
•									963,232	169,673				
									933,840	174,76				
									902,911	180,000				
									870,714	185,400				
									837,468	190,968				
									803,346	196,697				
									768,491	202,59				
						1,460,00	ю.		912,894	208,670				
						.,			874,276	214,938				
}									819,041	221,384				
								570,000						
!									777,622	234,867	7 26,09	5 -986,39	2 -35,856	5 -7,24
1									750,966					
									721,134	249,17	0 27,68			
									-353,596					
;	19500000	li:							3,469,859					
									3,112,716					
ı									3,047,565					
									2,980,506					
									2,911,772					
									2,841,555					
									2,770,013					
									2,672,207					
								840,000						
•									2,577,020					
•									2,519,880					
									2,457,686					
									2,391,343	376,89	2 41,87	7 -2,726,35	8 -27,18	6 -7,99
3														
									2,321,576 2,248,971	388,19				

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate
PW Year

2001

Relocate Salt Pond GT to Old Perlican

					CAPITAL E	PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE		<b>5</b>				
٥	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Capital Revenue Requirement	Costs	Operating Benefits	Net Benefit	Present Worth Benefit	Cumulativ Present Worth
\R	28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
2	1,465,000					185,000		150,000	295,851	75,000	8,500	-362,351	-334,211	-334
3	.,,					105,000		130,000	255,960	77,250				
									253,482	79,568				
5									250,148	81,955				
}									246,115	84,413	9,567	-320,962	-214,243	
7									241,514	86,946			-196,154	-1,508
3									236,445	89,554				
•									230,993	92,241				
)									225,223	95,008				
2									219,190	97,858				
3									212,936 206,497	100,794 103,818				
į									193,303	105,516				
5						•		220,000	214,184	110,140				
3									193,673	113,444				
7	8550000								1,600,113	116,848				
3									1,441,482	120,353				
•									1,410,473	123,964	14,049	-1,520,388		
•									1,378,302	127,682			-321,032	-4,198
1									1,345,132	131,513		-1,461,740	-290,190	-4,488
2									1,311,096	135,458				
3									1,276,305	139,522				
;									1,240,849	143,708				
,									1,204,803	148,019				
,									1,168,229	152,460				-5,565
3								325,000	1,121,501	157,033				
,								329,000	1,124,925 1,066,846	161,744 166,597				
)									857,185	171,595				
ŀ									934,764	176,742				
?									905,835	182,045				-6,268
3									875,591	187,506				
,									B44,257	193,131	21,888			-6,417
5									812,016	198,925	22,545	-988,396	-63,272	-6,481
3									779,016			-960,687		
7									745,374	211,040				
,						530,000	1		776,350	217,371				
,									740,824	223,892				
í								475,000	690,890	230,609				
2								475,000	714,409 648,577	237,527 244,653				
,									622,470	251,992				-6,786 -6,815
ı	,								593,719	259,552				-6,840
5									-479,498	267,339				
•	19500000								3,345,823	275,359				
									2,990,832	283,620				
1									2,928,067	292,128		-3,187,087	-71,327	-7,078
•									2,863,587	300,892				
}									2,797,591	309,919				
									2,730,244	319,216				
:									2,661,682	328,793				
,									2,571,128	338,657				
;								700,000		348,816				-7,384
•									2,473,404 2,416,444	359,281 370,059				
7									2,416,444	370,059				
В									2,350,162	392,596				
									2,222,633	404,374				
•														
9 0									2,152,445	416,505				

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

Transmission Alternative - Wesleyville

						CAPITAL EXP	ENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital	Operating	Operating		Present	Cumulative
	9				Generation	Transmissio !	Substation	<u>Distribution</u>	Telecomm		Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
		Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydra 49_50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
	EAR					5,975,000	1,070,000		180,000	1,161,265	90,000		) -1,251, <i>2</i> 65	-1,154,090	-1,154,090
	002					9,313,000	1,070,000		,00,000	1,023,394	92,700	Ċ	-1,116,094	-949,472	-2,103,562
	004									1,006,593	95,481			-864,734	
2	005									988,533 969,416	98,345 101,296	. (		-786,581 -714,703	-3,754,877 -4,469,580
	006									949,402	104,335	ì			-5,118,327
	2007									928,626		1	-1,036,090		
_	2009									907,193			. ,,	-533,116	
	2010									885,191	114,009 117,430		-999,201 -980,123	-482,690	-6,722,478 -7,159,182
	2011									862,694 839,759			960,711	-394,811	
	2012									816,435			941,016		
	2013 2014									784,847			913,165		
	2015						1.19/11/		265,000				3 -926,339 3 -889,569		
	2016									753,436 734,765			874,982		
	2017									714,523			-858,947	-217,323	
	2018 2019						4.0			692,996			0 -841,752		
	2020	•								670,411 646,952			0 -823,630 0 -804,768		9,624,250 9,784,016
	2021									622,768			785,318		-9,927,812
	2022 2023					•				597,977			0 -765,403	-129,266	10,057,078
	2023									572,676			0 -745,126		-10,173,146
	2025									546,945			0 -724,568 0 -703,797		-10,277,247 -10,370,510
	2026									520,846 482,774	-		0 -703,73		3 -10,452,549
	2027								390,00				0 -699,193		-10,531,370
	2028 2029									453,940			0 -653,850		-10,599,356
	2030									435,425			0 -641,33! 0 -69,808		6 -10,660,861 5 -10,667,036
	2031					14,500,000				-142,283 2,466,420			0 -2,684,874		5 -10,886,081
	2032					14,500,000	* .			2,204,308			0 -2,429,31		3 -11,068,884
	2033 2034									2,159,204			0 -2,390,96		4 -11,234,828
	2035									2,112,594			0 -2,351,30! 0 -2,310,54		3 -11,385,346 2 -11,521,768
	2036									2,064,672 2,015,597			0 -2,268,84		7 -11,645,325
	2037						3,100,00	ю.		2,347,613			0 -2,608,45		-11,776,345
	2038									2,290,942			0 -2,559,61		2 -11,894,926
	2040								F70.00	2,290,217			0 -2,566,94 0 -2,582,59		5 -12,004,612 4 -12,106,396
	2041								570,00	0 2,297,563 2,237,743			0 -2,582,39		6 -12,198,412
	2042									2,187,516	302,39	1	0 -2,489,90	7 -83,48	1 -12,281,893
	2043									2,031,160			0 -2,342,62		3 -12,354,336
	2045									1,979,017			0 -2,299,82 0 -500,19		7 -12,419,933 9 -12,433,091
	2046									169,759 307,52			0 -500,19 0 -647,86		9 -12,433,091 0 -12,448,811
	2047									283,25			0 -633,80		4 -12,462,996
	2048									258,02	4 361,07	1	0 -619,09	4 -12,77	9 -12,475,775
	2050									232,03			0 -603,93		8 -12,487,273
	2051	1								205,436 178,35			0 -588,49 0 -572,90		4 -12,497,607 9 -12,506,886
	2052									178,35			0 -532,20		0 -12,514,837
	2053								840,00			0	0 -622,25	2 -8,57	4 -12,523,411
	2054 2055									123,46	0 431,13		0 -554,59		8 -12,530,459
	2050									113,82			0 -557,89		9 -12,536,998 4 -12,543,022
	205									99,81 82,31			0 -557,20 0 -553,43		4 -12,543,022 9 -12,548,541
	2058									62,02			0 -547,27		3 -12,553,574
	2059									39,50	3 499,80	6	0 -539,30	9 -4,57	5 -12,558,149
	206									-1,336,63	8 514,80	0	0 821,83	7 6,43	0 -12,551,719

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

Transmission Alternative - Twillingate

					CAPITAL EXP	ENDITURE	IN YEAR BY		Canital	Occupies	Oneration	Mat	Dracast	Cumulativa
	Generation	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmissio S	Substation	Distribution			Operating Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth	Cumulative Present Worth
	Thermal	Hydro	Thermal	Hydro										Danafit
	28.19 yrs	49.50 yrs	28.19 yrs	49.5	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
YEAR	4% CCA	4% CCA	30% CCA	30% CCA	4% CCA	474 CUM .	476 000	20% COA						
TEAR											_			. 200 255
2002					4,850,000	1,400,000		180,000	1,029,088 905,751	80,000 82,400	T C	.,		-1,022,955 -1,863,585
2003									891,528	84,872				-2,629,709
2004 2005									876,082	87,418		-963,500		-3,327,000
2005									859,612	90,041	C			-3,960,896
2007									842,281	92,742				-4,536,556
2008									824,218	95,524				-5,058,832 6,533,361
2009									805,529 786,301	98,390 101,342				-5,532,261 -5,961,060
2010									766,606	104,382				-6,349,137
2011									746,500	107,513				-6,700,099
2012 2013									726,031	110,739				-7,017,270
2014									697,323	114,061	(			-7,300,934
2015								265,000						-7,567,615
2016									671,744					-7,803,389 -8,017,536
2017									656,022 638,751					-8,211,628
2018									620,215					-8,387,220
2019									600,640					-8,545,815
2020 2021									580,211			-720,49		-8,688,850
2022									559,074			-703,56		-8,817,676
2023									537,347			0 -686,17		-8,933,561
2024	1								515,128 492,494			0 -668,41° 0 -650,38°		-9,037,680 -9,131,122
2025									469,507			632,13		-9,214,889
2026									434,563			-602,06		-9,288,476
2027 2028								390,000				632,55	-71,309	-9,359,785
2029				•					412,026			589,72		-9.421,103
2030									396,679			0 -579,71		-9,476,699
203	7								-72,966 2,045,175			0 -115,56 0 -2,239,35		-9,486,920 -9,669,617
2032					11,780,000				1,830,957			0 -2,030,96		-9.822.445
203									1,792,836			0 -1,998,84		-9,961,174
2034 2033									1,753,328		7	0 -1,965,51		-10,086,996
203			*	14,					1,712,622			0 -1,931,17		-10,201,019
203									1,670,874			0 -1,895,98		-10,304,270
203	e.					4,060,00	ю		2,128,763			0 -2,360,62 0 -2,316,63		-10,422,842 -10,530,166
203									2,077,255			0 2,323,23		-10,629,438
204								570,000				0 -2,345,27		-10,721,869
204 204									2,035,097	7 260,963	3	0 -2,296,06	0 -83,464	
204									1,992,112			0 -2,260,90		
204									1,852,856			0 -2,129,71		
204							-		1,807,562 200,122			0 -2,092,72 0 -493,83		
204									323,23			0 -625,76		-11.034.860
204					=				302,16			0 -613,77		
204 204									280,12	320,95	2	0 -601,07	8 -12,407	
205									257,30			0 -587,88		
205									233,86			0 -574,36		
205									209,921			0 -560,63 0 -521,74		
205								840,00	160,51; 0 241,48			0 -521,74 0 -613,55		
205								<del>0-0,00</del>	164,37			0 -547,60		
205 205									157,82			0 -552,55		
205									146,89	1 406,57	2	0 -553,46		
200									132,45			0 -551,22		
209									115,22			0 -546,55		
20	60								95,74			0 -540,02 0 566,17		
200	61								-1,023,77	2 <b>457,6</b> 0	v	u 200,17	- 4,430	-11,137,704

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

Transmission Alternative - Trepassey

					·	CAPITAL EXP	ENDITURE I	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE			Operating Benefits	Net Benefit		Cumulative Present
		eneration	Generation	Generation		<u>Transmissio S</u>	ubstation	<u>Distribution</u>	Telecomm	Requirement	Costs	Беценг?	ренен		Worth
V/E		Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA					1	Benefit
_						6,575,000	860,000		160,000		95,000				-1,215,714 -2,217,200
20 <sup>4</sup> 20										1,079,386	97,850 100,786		) -1,177,236 ) -1,161,734		-3,128,746
	04									1,041,340	103,809		1,145,149		-3,957,497
	05									1,020,739	106,923	. (	-1,127,662		-4,710,214
	006									999,293	110,131		-1,109,424		-5,393,247
	107 108									977,122 954,321	113,435 116,838	,	) -1,090,556 ) -1,071,159		-6,012,520 -6,573,541
	909									930,973			0 -1.051,310		-7,081,406
	10									907,141			0 -1,031,094	459,414	-7,540,820
	211				*					882,880	127,672	•	1,010,55		-7,956,114
	012 013									858,236		•	0 989,73 0 961,65		-8,331,266 -8,667,466
	014								235,00	826,208 0 830,414			0 -969,92		-8,980,222
	015								235,00	790,224			0 933,92	-277,759	-9,257,982
	016									769,567		7	0 917,57		-9,509,686
	017									747,486		•	0 -899,93		-9,737,379
	018 019									724,234		-	0 -881,25 0 -861,74		-9,943,031 -10,128,512
	020									700,015 674,992		-	0 -841,57		-10,295,585
	021									649,300			0 -820,88		-10,445,893
	022									623,043			D799,77		
	023									596,310		•	D -778,34 D -756,66		
	2025									569,171 541,683		-	0 -756,66 0 -734,79		-10,908,288
	2026									503,557		-	0 -702,46		-10,994,146
	2027								345,00				0 -723,69		-11,075,730
	2028								•	469,100			0 -680,12		-11,146,447
	2029 2030									448,24			0 -665,59 0 -36,35		-11,210,278 -11,213,494
	2031									-187,52° 2,684,096			0 -2,914,68		-11,451,288
	2032					15,960,000				2,396,19			0 -2,633,69	9 -198,183	-11,649,470
	2033									2,347,37			0 -2,592,00		-11,829,368
	2034 2035									2,297,08			0 -2,549,05		-11,992,546 -12,140,451
	2036		£.,							2,245,50 2,192,76			0 -2,460,08		
	2037						2,500,00	30		2,447,39			0 -2,722,73	1 -136,759	
	2038						2,0,-			2,388,00			0 -2,671,60		
	2039 2040									2,388,33			0 -2,680,43 0 -2,686,09		
	2041				•				510,0	00 2,385,18 2,327,86			0 -2,637,75		
	2042	!								2,272,97			0 2,592.1	70 -86,910	-12,938,143
	2043									2,108,43	3 328,76	6	0 -2,437,19		
	2044									2,052,38			0 -2,391.0		-13,081,708 -13,094,802
	2046									148,94 293,12			0 -497,7		-13,110,631
	2047									267,12			0 -637,1		-13,124,891
	2048									240,27	381,13	30	0 -621,4		-13,137,718
	2049									212,75			0 -605,3		13,149,242
	2050									184,69			0 -589,0 0 -572,6		-13,159,586 -13,168,861
	2052									156,20 104,95			0 -533.9		5 -13,176,837
	205	3							745,0		16 441,8	34	0 -611,0	50 -8,419	-13,185,257
	205								,-	93,66	60 455,0	89	0 -548,7		
	205 205									80.70			0 -549,4 0 -546,6		
	205									63,81 43,91			0 -546,6 0 -541,2		
	205									21,5			0 -533,7		
	205									-2.7	83 527,5	73	0 -524,7	90 -4,45	
	206									-1,516,6	69 543,4	00	0 973,2	69 7,61	5 -13,211,724
	206	• •													

2001

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

8.42% 3%

Transmission Alternative - Old Perlican

					CAPITAL EXP	ENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE		Operating				Cumulative
	Generation	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmissio S	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Revenue Requirement		Benefits	<u>Benefit</u>	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
	Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA		•				<u>Benefit</u>
YEAR														
2002					3,350,000	170,000		140,000		55,000	0			-597,565
2003									520,351	56,650	. 0			-1,088,425 -1,536,353
2004									512,519 503,797	58,350 60,100	0			-1,944,449
2005									494,338					-2,315,741
2006									484,264	63,760	Ċ			-2,653,140
2007									473,675					-2,959,409
2008 2009									462,651	67,643				-3,237,151
2010									451,258					-3,488,799 -3,716,619
2011									439,548 427,565					-3,922,706
2012									415,344					4,108,996
2013									396,756			-475,173		
2014 2015								205,000						
2015									384,342			0 -467,534		
2017									375,953 366,401					-4,699,008 -4,814,042
2018									355,904			0 -446,810		
2019									344,636			0 -438,269		
2020									332,737		. (	0 -429,180	-85,202	-5,097,846
2021 2022									320,321			0 -419,65		
2023									307,478			0 -409,79		
2024									294,281 280,789			0 -399,66° 0 -389,33°		
2025									267,051			0 -378,85		
202€									244,086			0 -359,24		
2027								305,00				0 -387,07		
- 2028 2029									235,216			0 -357,38		
2030									227,534			0 -353,37 0 -35,49		
203									-94,114 1,370,456			0 -35,49° 0 -1,503,95°		
203					8,130,000	)			1,225,112		-	0 -1,362,61		
203									1,201,216			0 -1,342,84	8 -93,200	-5,892,460
203 203									1,176,301			0 -1,322,18		
203									1,150,507			0 -1,300,76		
203							_		1,123,953 1,157,822			0 -1,278,71 0 -1,317,22		
203						495,00	ю		1,129,08			0 -1,293,26		
203									1,124,278			0 -1,293,39		
204 204								445,00	0 1,146,510	8 174,18	6	0 -1,320,70		
204									1,109,110		_	0 -1,288,52		
204									1,085,78			0 -1,270,57 0 -1,193,85		
204	14								1,003,511 978,176			0 -1,174,21		
204									34,21			0 236,14		
204									107,28			0 -315,27	5 -7,650	-6,530,643
204 204									95,40	0 214,22		0 -309,62		
204									82,78			0 -303,43		
205									69,59			0 -296,86 0 -290,03		
209									55,94 41,92			0 -283,04		
209									8,05			0 -256,40		
205								650,0				0 -330,77	'5 -4,55	8 -6,567,553
20: 20:									19,91			0 -283,39		
20									19,48			0 -290,86		
20									15,68			0 -295,20 0 -297,10		
20	58								9,19 56			0 -297,10		3 -6,583,450
20									-9.79			0 -295,54		
20									-779,47			0 464,87		
20	101								5.7					

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

New Stationary Generation Alternative - Wesleyville

						IN YEAR BY				Operating	<u>Net</u>	Present	Cumulati
	Generation		Generation	Transmission	Substation	Distribution		<u>Revenue</u> Requirement	Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
7,225,000					875,000	ł	200,000	1,358,072	115,000	7,000	-1,466,072	-1,352,215	-1,352
								1,198,277	118,450				
								1,177,098					
								1,154,508					
								1,130,730					
								1,105,947		B,115			
								1,080,303					
								1,053,921					
								1,026,896					
								999,310 971,230					
					•			942,708					
								904,995					
							295,000	912,831		10,280			
							250,000	864,901					
								841,526					
								816,396					
								789,828					
								762,076	195,780	11,917	-945,939	-203,503	3 -11,224
								733,345					
								703,801					
								673,576					
								642,779					
								611,496					-11,97
								579,799					
								534,770					
							430,000						
								496,909					
16530000								-407,228 2,835,698					
16530000								2,535,921					
								2,483,289					
								2,428,747					
								2,372,553					
								2,314,922					
								2,256,029					8 -13,680
					2,535,000	0		2,508,485	333,302	20,286	-2,821,499	3 -141,720	3 -13,82
								2,442,846	343,301	1 20,897			
								2,359,186					
							635,000						
								2,267,457					
			•					2,214,193					
								2,157,018					
								2,096,617 2,033,544					
								1,968,243					
								1,901,076					
								1,832,334					
								1,762,254					
								1,691,026					
								1,618,813					
								1,517,806					
							930,000						
							,,,,,	1,428,363					
								1,373,854					
								1,314,143	3 584,447	7 35,57	5 -1,863,01	5 -20,14	1 -14,50
								1,314,143			2 -1,815,55	2 -18,104	4 -14,98
									601,98° 620,046	1 36,642 0 37,742	2 -1,815,55 2 250,00	2 -18,104 8 2,295	4 -14,98

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

8.42% 3%

2001

New Stationary Generation Alternative - Twillingate

					CAPITAL EX	PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital	Operating	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulativ
G	eneration Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission	<u>Substation</u>	Distribution	Telecomm		Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
R	28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
2	7,225,000	1				960,000	D	200,000	1,370,944	120,000				
3									1,209,687					
•									1,188,323					
i									1,165,545 1,141,575					
į									1,147,575					
1									1,090,754					
									1,064,168					
									1,036,939			-1,178,183	-569,152	-7,957
									1,009,144			-1,154,627		
•									980,852					
1									952,117					
ı									914,187					
•								295,000						
;									873,651					
•									850,052					
•									824,695					
!									797,899 769,916					
)									740,953					
•									711,174					
3									580,712					
									649,676					-11,998
;	•								618,154	236,83	16,77	5 -838,200	3 -120,428	-12,119
;									586,215					
7									540,943					
}								430,000						
•									502,590					
)									-401,794					
	16530000								2,840,880 2,540,850					
2									2,487,97					
4									2,433,17					
5									2,376,73					
3									2,318,84					7 -13,702
7									2,259,69	5 337,66	3 23,91	8 -2,573,44	0 -140,144	4 -13,843
3						2,785,00	00		2,542,81					
•									2,476,40					
D									2,392,20					
								635,000						7 -14,342 5 -14,439
2									2,299,35 2,245,51					
3 4									2,243,31					
5									2,126,75					
5									2,063,08					
7									1,997,16			4 -2,418,81	4 -58,69	1 -14,802
B									1,929,37	8 467,40	5 33,10			
9									1,860,00					
0									1,789,28					
1									1,717,41					
2									1,644,54					
3								930,00	1,542,88 1,585,03					
4 5								930,00	1,452,10					
5 6									1,396,91					
7									1,336,51					
a									1,271,89					3 -15,165
9									-811,31					
õ	37820000	, .							6,596,30			4 -7,215,50	6 -61,20	9 -15,225
									5,900,48		0 48,62	0 -6,538,26	4 -51,15	6 -15,276

Weighted Average incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate
PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

New Stationary Generation Alternative - Trepassey

_					CAPITAL E	KPENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital	Operation	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulati
<u>G</u>	eneration	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmission	Substation	Distribution			Costs		Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
1	Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
•														
	7,225,000	,				905,000		180,000	1,359,083	132,000 135,960	12,000 12,360			
									1,178,537	140,039	12,731	-1,305,845		
									1,155,650	144,240	13,113			
									1,131,657	148,567	13,506			-5,291
									1,106,724	153,024	13,911	-1,245,837		-6,058
									1,080,983	157,615	14,329			
									1,054,545	162,343	14,758			
									1,027,497	167,214	15,201			
									999,915	172,230	15,657			
									971,858 943,378	177,397 182,719	16,127 16,611	-1,133,128 -1,109,486		-8,934 -9,354
									906,598	188,200	17,109			
								265,000	910,699	193,846				
								200,200	864,708	199,662	18,151	-1,046,219		
									840,751	205,652	18,696			
									815,195	211,821	19,256			
									788,326	218,176	19,834	-986,667	-230,251	-11,160
									760,372	224,721	20,429	-964,664	-207,633	-11,368
									731,518	231,463				-11,555
									701,914	238,407	21,673			-11,723
									671,680	245,559				-11,874
									640,914	252,926				-12,010
									609,695	260,513				-12,131
									578,087	268,329				-12,240
,								390,000	534,487 551,265	276,379 284,670				-12,336 -12,427
								330,000	494,539	293,210				-12,507
									-410,355	302,006				-12,49
ı	16530000								2,832,022	311,067				-12,769
									2,531,862	320,399				-12,999
1									2,478,980	330,011	30,001			-13,209
									2,424,294	339,911	30,901			
									2,368,042					-13,570
,									2,310,420	360,611				-13,726
•							_		2,251,590	371,430				
						2,525,000	,		2,515,334	382,573				-14,011
									2,449,625					-14,141
•								570,000	2,367,789 2,373,540	405,871 418,048	36,897 38,004			-14,258 -14,366
								310,000	2,373,640	430,589				-14,46
,									2,217,271	443,507				-14,551
									2,159,135					-14,63
,									2,098,041	470,516				-14.700
									2,034,488					-14,76
									1,968,879			-2,422,670		-14,827
1									1,901,538					-14,880
1									1,832,731	529,570				
1									1,762,671	545,457				
									1,691,534	561,821				
!									1,619,462					
}								940.000	1,521,501	596,036				
								840,000	1,553,516 1,427,066					
,									1,370,805					-15,15
ŕ									1,309,809					
3									1,244,967	690,969				-15,192
•									-838,166					
,	37820000	l.							6,569,781	733,049				-15,25
									5,874,484					

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate
PW Year

8.42% 3%

2001

New Stationary Generation Alternative - Old Perlican

					CAPITAL E	APENDITORE	IN TEAR DY	ASSET TYPE						
Gen	neration	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmission	Substation	Distribution			Operating Costs	Operating Benefits	<u>Net</u> <u>Benefit</u>	Worth	Cumulative Present Worth
2	Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
R														
2	7,225,000	,				585,000	)	150,000	1,305,323	135,000	12,500			-1,316,
3									1,153,881	139,050				-2,405,
4									1,132,494	143,222				-3,396,
5									1,109,970 1,086,479	147,518 151,944				-4,296, -5,113,
6 7									1,062,161	156,502				-5,855,
8									1,037,129	161,197				-6,527,
9									1,011,475	166,033				-7,135
0									985,276	171,014	15,835	-1,140,455		-7,686
1									958,594	176,144				-8,185
2									931,481	181,429				-8,635
3									903,982	186,872				-9,042
4 5								220,000	869,534 868,974	192,478 198,252				-9,407 -9,745
5 6								220,000	825,845	204,200				
7									802,978	210,326				
В									777,756	216,635				
9									751,415	223,134	20,661	-953,889		
3									724,149	229,828				
1									696,110	236,723				
5									667,425	243,825				
3									638,193 608,497	251,140 258,674				-11,610
4 5									578,405	256,434				
5									547,969	274,427				-11.833
7									507,557	282,660				
8								325,000		291,140				-12,015
9									466,041	299,874				-12,092
0									-439,010	308,870				
	16530000								2,803,554	318,136				
2									2,503,857	327,680				
3 4									2,451,660 2,397,836	347.636				
5									2,342,590	358,065				
6					-				2,286,090	368,80				
7									2,228,473					-13,440
8						1,695,00	0		2,378,790	391,26				
9								•	2,316,173	403,000				
0									2,239,639	415,090				
1								475,000		427,549 440,379				
2 3									2,142,785 2,088,775	453,58				
4									2,031,720	467,19				
5									1,972,140	481,210				
6									1,910,452	495,64			5 -62,091	-14,300
7									1,846,994	510,51				
8									1,782,040	525,83				
9									1,715,812	541,60				
0									1,648,491	557,85				
1									1,580,224					-14,530 -14,563
2 3									1,511,132 1,420,422					-14,593
4								700,000						
								,,	1,323,450					-14,64
5									1,267,369		7 61,677	7 -1,871,79	9 -21,940	-14,660
									4 207 204	686,09	0 63,527	7 -1.829.86	7 -19,783	-14,680
6 7									1,207,304					
6 7 8									1,144,000	706,67	3 65,433	-1,785,24	0 -17,802	-14,704
55 56 57 58 59 60	37820000									706,67 727,87	3 65,433 3 67,396	-1,785,244 276,63	0 -17,802 2 2,544	-14,704 -14,701

2001

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

8.42% 3%

New Mobile Generation Alternative - Wesleyville

_		***			CAPITAL EX	PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital	Operating	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulati
ğ	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm		Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
_	28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
R														
?	7,665,000	•				875,000		200,000	1,430,557 1,262,767	115,000 118,450	7,000 7,210			
,									1,240,209	122,004	7,426		-1,063,023	
5									1,216,221	125,664	7,649		-965,595	
;									1,191,026	129,434	7,879		-876,151	
									1,164,807	133,317	8,115		-794,211	
									1,137,710	137,316			-719,278	
									1,109,857	141,435	8,609		-650,856	
									1,081,346	145,679	8,867		-588,462	
									1,052,258 1,022,659	150,049 154,550	9,133 9,407			
									992,606	159,187	9,407			
									953,347	163,963	9,980			
								295,000	959,624	168,881	10,280		-360,577	
								,	910,123	173,948	10,588			
									885,163	179,166	10,906	-1,053,424	-288,970	-11,045
									858,438	184,541	11,233			
									830,263	190,077	11,570		-235,409	
									800,894	195,780				
									770,535	201,653				
									739,352 707,479	207,703				
									675,025	213,934 220,352			-153,414 -137,384	
									642,076	226,962			-122,872	
									608,705	233,771	14,230			-12,63
									561,994	240,784	14,656			
								430,000	581,771	248,008	15,096	-814,683	-91,841	
									520,744	255,448	15,549			
									-438,737	263,112			18,379	
	17540000								3,002,084	271,005				
									2,683,954	279,135		-2,946,099		
									2,628,159	287,509				
									2,570,407 2,510,960	296,135 305.019				
									2,450,033	314,169				
									2,387,803	323,594				
						2,535,000			2,636,884	333,302				
									2,567,832	343,301	20,897		133,899	
									2,480,723	353,600				
								635,000		364,208				
									2,381,995	375,134	22,834			
									2,325,184	386,388				
									2,264,430 2,200,422	397,980 409,919				
									2,200,422		25,700			
									2,064,749	434,884			-60,009	
									1,993,893	447,930				
									1,921,438	461,368				
									1,847,620	475,209				
									1,772,634	489,465				
									1,696,636	504,149				
								040	1,591,826	519,274			-31,065	
								930,000	1,630,820 1,494,716	534,852 550,897	32,556 33,533			
									1,494,716				-25,570 -23,082	
									1,372,754	584,447	35,575			
									1,304,927	601,981	36,642			
									-904,635	620,040				
	40125000								6,955,738	638,641	38,874		-64,093	
١.														

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate
PW Year

2001

New Mobile Generation Alternative - Twillingate

_				<del></del>	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE IN YEAR BY ASSET TYPE					Operating			Present	Cumulative
9	Seneration Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission	Substation	<u>Distribution</u>	Telecomm	Revenue Requirement	Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
AR.	28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49,50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
2	7,665,000					960,000		200,000	1,443,429					-1,434,1
13 14									1,274,177 1,251,435	123,600 127,308				-2,615,8
15									1,227,258	131,127	9,288		-1,074,744	-3,690,5 -4,666,9
6									1,201,871	135,061	9,567			-5,552,9
7									1,175,456	139,113				-6,358,2
8									1,148,160				-727,585	-7,083,7
9									1,120,105					-7,742,2
0									1,091,388				-595,455	-8,337,7
2									1,062,092					-8,875,7
3									1,032,282 1,002,015				-485,804 -43 <b>5</b> ,308	-9,361,5 -9,799,8
4									962,539		12,119		-392,086	
5								295,000	968,596	176.224			-365,127	
6								,	918,873	181,511	12,857		-323,444	
7									893,689	186,956			-292,805	
8									866,738	192,565	13,640		-264,565	-11,437,9
9									838,334					
0									808,734					
21									778,142		14,905			
3									746,725 714,615					
4									681,922	229,932				
5									648,734	236,830				
:6									615,121					
7									568,167			-801,623		
8								430,000	587,699	258,791		-828,159		-12,981,
9									526,426					
30 11	17540000								-433,303		19,447			
12	17.540000								3,007,269 2,688,889	282,788 291,271	20,031 20,632			
3									2,632,842				-241,432	-13,575, -13,794,
4									2,574,837					
15									2,515,137					
6									2,453,955					-14,335,
7									2,391,469					
8						2,785,000	•		2,671,214	347,793	24,635	-2,994,372	-150,403	-14,633,
9									2,601,391	358,227	25,374			
0									2,513,739	368,974			-122,062	
2								635,000		380,043				
3									2,413,892					
4									2,356,50 <del>5</del> 2,295,166	403,188 415,284			-91,569 -82,908	
5									2,230,562					
6									2,163,249					
7									2,093,672					-15,484,
8									2,022,195			-2,456,492	-54,976	-15,539,
9									1,949,110		34,101		-49,467	
0									1,874,654	495,870	35,124		-44,463	
2									1,799,022				-39,925	
3									1,722,372 1,616,902		37,263 38,381			
4								930,000		558,106			-29,952	
5								500,000	1,518,454	574,849				
6									1,459,404	592,095				
7									1,395,128	609,858	43,198		-21,209	-15,841
8									1,326,611	628,154	44,494		-19,048	
9									-883,647	646,998	45,829		2,598	-15,857,
Ö	40125000								6,976,025		47,204			
1									6,238,321	686,400	48,620	-6,876,102	-53,799	-15,975.

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

8.42% 3%

New Mobile Generation Alternative - Trepassey

_					CAPITAL E	APITAL EXPENDITURE IN YEAR BY ASSET TYPE			Capital	Operating	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulative
<u>c</u>	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmissio	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Revenue Requirement	Costs	Benefits	Benefit		Present Worth
AA	28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
12	7,665,000	ı				905,000		180,000		132,000				-1,431,0
)3									1,264,607	135,960				-2,612,0
)4									1,241,649 1,217,363	140,039 144,240				-3,686,1 -4,662,0
)5 )6									1,191,953	148,567	13,506			
17									1,165,584	153,024				
8									1,138,390	157,615				-7,078,9
9									1,110,481	162,343				
0									1,081,947					
1									1,052,862					
2									1,023,288	177,397				
3									993,276					
4								act one	954,951 957,492	188,200 193,846				
5 6								265,000	909,930					
7									884,389					
B									857,237					
9									828,761					
0									799,189			-1,003,481	-215,988	-11,898,
1		* .							768,707					-12,092,
2									737,465					
3									705,583					
4									673,160					
5									640,275 606,993					
6 7									561,711					
8								390,000						
9			-					****	518,375					
0									-441,864	302,006	27,455	167,313		
1	17540000								2,998,408					
2									2,679,895					
3									2,623,850					
4 5									2,565,954 2,506,448					
ວ 6									2,306,446					
7									2,383,365					-14,507
8						2,625,00	0		2,643,733					
9						.,			2,574,611					-14,793
0									2,489,327					-14,915
1								570,000						
2									2,386,369					
3									2,328,262					
4									2,266,547					
5 5									2,201,846 2,134,657					
7									2,134,037					
8									1,994,355					
9									1,921,834					
0									1,848,037	545,45	7 49,58	7 -2,343,90	44,625	-15,657
1									1,773,140	561,82	51,07			
2									1,697,286					
3									1,595,520	596,030				
4								840,000						
5									1,493,418					
56 57									1,433,295 1,368,420					
iB									1,299,681					
9									-910,494					
SQ	40125000								6,949,504					
									-,5.0,00	. 20,00	,	. ,	,500	

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

New Mobile Generation Alternative - Old Perlican

	CAPITAL EXPENDITURE IN YEAR BY AS								Capital	Oncention	Operating	Net	Present	Cumulative
<u>G</u>		Generation	Generation	Generation	<u>Transmission</u>	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Revenue Requirement	Costs	Benefits	Benefit	Worth Benefit	Present Worth
VR	Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydro 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
2	7,665,000					585,000		150,000	1,377,808	135,000	12,500	-1,500,308	-1,383,792	-1,383,792
3								•	1,218,371	139,050	12,875	-1,344,546	-1,143,818	-2,527,610
4									1,195,605	143,222	13,261			-3,567,705
5									1,171,683		13,659			-4.512,534 5.330.044
6 7									1,146,775 1,121,021	151,944 156,502	14,069 14,491		-857,507 -777,603	-5,370,041 -6,147,645
8									1,094,536		14,926		704,593	-6,852,238
9									1,067,411	166,033	15,373		-637,966	-7,490,20
0									1,039,725	171,014	15,835			-8,067,43
1									1,011,541	176,144	16,310			-8,589,35
2									982,911	181,429	16,799		-471,590	-9,060,94
3									953,880	186,872	17,303		-425,834	9,486,779
4 5								220,000	917,886 915,767	192,478 198,252	17,822 18,357		-381,958 -353,301	-9,868,73; -10,222,03;
6								220,000	872,066					-10,536,50
7									846,616		19,475		-284,593	
8									819,798		20,059		-257,154	
9									791,850	223,134	20,561	-994,324	-232,038	-11,310,29
.0									762,966		21,280		-209,108	
!1									733,299		21,919		-188,221	
2									702,975					
3									672,096					
4									640,744 608,985		23,951 24,670			
6									576,875		25,410			
7									534,781	282,660				
8								325,000						
9									489,876					
0									-470,519					
1	17540000								2,969,940					
2									2,651,890					
3 4									2,596,530 2,539,497		31,251 32,189			
5									2,339,497					
6									2,421,200		34,149			
7									2,360,248		35.173			
8						1,695,000	)		2,507,189	391,268	36,228	-2,862,228	-143,766	-14,224,41
9									2,441,160		37,315			
0									2,361,177					
1								475,000						
2 3									2,257,324					
4									2,199,765 2,139,132					
15									2,075,944					
16									2,010,620					
17									1,943,500					
8									1,874,857		48,688			
9									1,804,915	541,606	50,149	-2,296,373	-47,401	-15,137,73
0									1,733,857					
51									1,661,829					
2									1,588,955		54,799			
3. 4								700	1,494,442					
5								700,000						
5 6									1,389,803 1,329,859					
7									1,265,915					
8									1,198,714					
9					•				-1,009,438					
	*****								6,852,977				-63,904	-15,459,62
i0 i1	40125000								6,118,530		09,414	· ·/,365,265	-53,355	

2001

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

Relocate Greenhill GT to Wesleyville

					CAPITAL E	(PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE		_				
	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Capital Revenue Requiremen	Costs	Operating Benefits	<u>Benefit</u>	Present Worth Benefit	Cumulative Present Worth
	28.19 yrs	49.50 yrs	28.19 yrs	49.5	29.59 yrs	36.36 yrs	29.59 yrs	12.90 yrs						<u>Benefit</u>
	4% CCA	4% CCA	30% CCA	30% CCA	4% CCA	4% CCA	4% CCA	20% CCA						
YEAR														
2002	2,125,000					475.000		200,000	457,328	55,000	3,000	-509,320	3 -469,773	-469,773
2002	2,125,000	,				415,000	•	200,000	397,090					-853,145
2004									392,753					-1,204,602
2005									387,256					
2006									380,811					
2007									373,589	63,760	3,478	-433,87	2 -267,119	-2,086,362
2008									365,730	65,673	3,582	-427,82		
2009									357,343					
2010									348,518					
2011									339,327					
2012									329,829					
2013									320,071					
2014									301,293					
2015								295,000	) 328,231 299,562					
2016 2017	8550000								1,704,117					
2018	0330000								1,543,185					
2019									1,509,539					
2020									1,474,456					
2021									1,438,151					
2022									1,400,799					
2023									1,362,543					-6,052,366
2024						*			1,323,500	105,386	5 5,748	-1,423,13	7 -221,682	-6,274,048
2025									1,283,765					
2026									1,243,419					
2027									1,189,550					
2028								439,000						
2029									1,133,468					
2030									841,700					
2032									966,908 937,899					
2033									907,220					
2034									875,167					
2035									841,979					
2036									807,848					
2037									772,930					
2038						1,380,00	0		907,559		8,69	5 -1,058,27	0 -53,156	-7,734,148
2039									868,839	164,187	7 8,950	5 -1,024,07	1 -47,443	-7,781,591
2040									811,758	169,113	9,22	971,64		
2041								630,000						
2042									773,977					
2043									748,51					
2044									719,558					
2045 2046	19500000								-354,540					
2046	13300000	•							3,469,35° 3,112,486					
2048									3,047,48					
2049			•						2,980,48					
2050									2,911,720					
2051									2,841,412					
2052									2,769,73					
2053									2,669,10		3 13,54	8 -2,903,90		
2054								930,000	0 2,712,100	3 255,799				
2055									2,579,267					
2056									2,523,85					
2057									2,462,911					
2058									2,397,45					
2059									2,328,27					
2060 2061									2,256,017					
2001									2,181,200	314,600	17,16	0 -2,478,64	3 -19,393	-8,702,135

Weighted Average incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

8.42% 3%

2001

#### Relocate Greenhill GT to Twillingate

					CAPITAL EX	PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE						
<u> </u>	Seneration	Generation	Generation	Generation	Transmission	Substation	Distribution	Telecomm	Capital Revenue Requiremen	Operating Costs t	Operating Benefits	<u>Nel</u> Benefit	Present Worth Benefit	Cumulative Present Worth
	Thermal 28.19 yrs 4% CCA	Hydro 49.50 yrs 4% CCA	Thermal 28.19 yrs 30% CCA	Hydra 49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
AR														
02	2,125,000	)				560,000	)	200,000	470,200					-484,87
03									408,500					-881,01
104									403,979 398,293					-1,244,19 -1,576,33
105 106									391,656					
107									384,239					
80									376,180					
03									367,591					
110									358,560					
111									349,161					
112 113									339,451 329,479					
114									310,485					
15								295,000						
16								•	308,313					
17	8550000								1,712,643					
118									1,551,485					
119				,					1,517,610					
20									1,482,296 1,445,758					
22									1,408,172					
23									1,369,679					
24									1,330,398					
25									1,290,423		88,88	1 -1,399,95	7 -201,136	-6,621,9
26									1,249,834					
27									1,195,722					
28								430,000						
29 30									1,139,149 847,137					
131									972,093					
32									942,834					
33									911,904				8 -79,061	-7,601,7
34									879,598					
35									846,156					
36									811,770					
37 38						1,620,00	•		776,596 940,375					
39			-			1,020,00	•		901,055					
40									843,453					
41								630,000				2 -1,059,08	7 -41,740	-8,033,6
42									804,598					
43									778,579					
44									749,065					
45 46	19500000	,							-325,605 3,497,706					
47	ISSUVUU								3,497,700					
48									3,074,656					
49									3,007,046					
50									2,937,672	247,93	5 18,59	5 -3,167,01	2 -60,296	-8,506,
51									2,866,745					
52									2,794,437					
53 54								020 020	2,693,178					
55 ·								930,000	2,735,536 2,602,055					
56									2,545,980					
57									2,484,395					
58									2,418,273	314,07				
59									2,348,42					
									DOTE 401	2020	4 24,99	0 -2,583,70	6 -21,917	7 -8,868,
060 061									2,275,490 2,200,000					

Weighted Average Incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

#### New Refurbished Generation Alternative - Trepassey

				CAPITAL EX	PENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE						
								Revenue	Operating Costs	Operating Benefits	<u>Net</u> Benefit	Worth	Cumulative Present
Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission		Distribution		Requirement				<u>Benefit</u>	Worth
28.19 yrs 4% CCA	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						Benefit
4,915,00	0				905,000	)	180,000	978,536	132,000	12,000	-1,098,536		-1,013,2
								861,546	135,960				-1,851,2
								847,202	140,039				-2,615,9
								831,655 815,104	144,240 148,567				-3,312,7 -3,946,9
								797,708	153,024				-4,523,
								779,598	157,615				-5,047,
· F								760,879	162,343				-5,523,
								741,637	167,214				-5,955,
								721,942	172,230	15,65	-878,515		-6,346
								701,852	177,397				-6,701
ļ ·								681,414	182,719				-7,022,
								652,749	188,200				-7,310,
							265,000		193,846				-7,581 -7,822
								627,294 611,653	199,662 205,652				-8,041
								594,474	211,821				-8,240
								576,041	218,176				
								556,581	224,721				-8,585
								536,275	231,463	21,04	2 -746,696		-8,733
								515,271	238,407				-8,867
•								493,688	245,559				
								471,621	252,920				-9,097
	_							449,148	250,513				
970090	)							2,024,295 1,813,268	268,329 276,379				
							390.000		284.67				
							330,000	1,729,900	293,210				
· ·								1,084,321	302,000				
								1,406,491	311,06				-10,469
								1,374,658	320,399				
•								1,341,136	330,01				
								1,306,201	339,91				
•								1,270,077	350,10				
								1,232,943	360,61				
					2,625,00			1,194,948 1,479,859	371,436 382,57				
l )					2,025,00	u		1,479,636	394,056				
· I								1,375,594	405,87				
							570,000		418,04				
2								1,324,092					-11,500
3								1,292,173	443,50				-11,557
,								1,256,938	456,81				-11,509
								1,218,995	470,51				-11,650
;								1,178,832	484,63				-11,69
)								1,136,844 1,093,346	499,17 514,14				-11,73 -11,77
								1,093,346	529,57				-11,800
)								1,002,792					
								956,108	561,82				
•								908,678	578,67				
}								835,538	596,03				-11,90
1							840,000	-289,972	613,91	7 55,81	1 -268,13	4 -3,694	
1914500	D-							3,495,037	632,33	4 57,48			
3								3,154,094	651,30				
•								3,096,530					
3								3,034,733					
9								2,969,142					
9 0 1								2,900,451 2,829,145	733,04 755,04				-12,14° -12,168

Weighted Average incremental Cost of Capital Escalation Rate PW Year

2001

New Refurbished Generation Alternative - Old Perlican

_		<del></del>			CAPITAL E	XPENDITURE	IN YEAR BY	ASSET TYPE	Capital		Operating		Present	Cumulativ
<u>G</u>	Seneration Thermal	Generation Hydro	Generation Thermal	Generation Hydro	Transmission		Distribution		Revenue Requiremen	<u>Costs</u> !	<u>Benefits</u>	<u>Benefit</u>	Worth Benefit	Worth
R	28.19 утs 4% ССА	49.50 yrs 4% CCA	28.19 yrs 30% CCA	49.5 30% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	36.36 yrs 4% CCA	29.59 yrs 4% CCA	12.90 yrs 20% CCA						<u>Benefit</u>
	5,215,000					585,00	D	150,000	974,198 859,281					
									844,189					
									828,052					-3,310,
									811,036					-3,943,
									793,277					
									774,885	161,197	14,926	-921,156	-523,079	-5,042,
									755,948					
ı									736,540					
									716,721					
									696,541					
									676,039					-7,013,
								200.000	648,651					
								220,000						
									620,263 603,632					
									585,700					
									566,700					
									546,824					
									526,223					
									505,021					
									483,317					
									461,191	258,674	23,951	-695,914	-108,402	-9,076
									438,708					
•	10295000					*			2,111,905					
									1,892,107					
•								325,000						
,									1,801,106					
									1,115,719					
									1,457,618 1,424,283	318,136 327,680				
i									1,389,457					
									1,353,375					
i									1,316,224					
i									1,278,160					-11,079
•	-								1,239,307					
						1,695,00	0		1,408,701					
•									1,365,462	403,00€	37,315	-1,731,152	80,201	-11,334
1									1,308,595			-1,685,256	-72,011	-11,406
				-				475,000					7 -67,511	-11,473
									1,251,899					
•				•					1,218,356					
									1,182,014					
									1,143,383					
									1,102,871					
Ċ									1,060,806 1,017,453					
									973,027					
									927,700					
									881,612					
									834,876					
									766,692					
								700,000						
	20315000								3,584,165					-11,973
									3,222,142					
									3,161,945					
,									3,097,867					
									3,030,530					
									2,960,440					
									2,888,003	772,200	71,500	3,588,704	-28,078	-12,189

Appendix C
Capital Cost Estimates

Location11=Wesleyville2=Twillingate3=Trepassey

4= Old Perlican

**WAICOC** = 8.42%

	Total Capital	Budgeted	Incremental Capital
Civil	515000		515000
Mechanical	696000	150000	546000
Electrical	875000	500000	375000
Substations	480000		480000
Communications	190000		190000
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	100000	V	100000
Total	2906000	650000	2256000

Location

2

1=

Wesleyville Twillingate Trepassey Old Perlican

2= 3=

4=

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	590000	81166	508834
Electrical	913000	562000	351000
Substations	553000		553000
Communications	175673		175673
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	76567		76567
Total	2868240	643166	2225074

Location

3

2=

3= 4= Wesleyville Twillingate Trepassey Old Perlican

WAICOC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	590000	81166	508834
Electrical	913000	562000	351000
Substations	500000		500000
Communications	155666		155666
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	74567		74567
Total	2793233	643166	2150067

Location

4

1=

2= 3=

4≃

Wesleyville Twillingate Trepassey Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	590000	81166	508834
Electrical	913000	562000	351000
Substations	170000		170000
Communications	137400		137400
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	72740	·	72740
Total	2443140	643166	1799974

#### Salt Pond GT Relocation Project Transmission Estimates

Location 1 1= 2=

1= Wesleyville 2= Twillingate 3= Trepassey

4= Old Perlican

WAICOC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	10000	0	10000
Mechanical	. 0	0	0
Electrical	5925000	0	5925000
Substations	1072000	0	1072000
Communications	177548	0	177548
IDC	40000	0	40000
Contingency	0	0	0
Total	7224548	0	7224548

#### Salt Pond GT Relocation Project **Transmission Estimates**

Location

2

1=

2=

Wesleyville Twillingate Trepassey

3= 4=

**Old Perlican** 

WAICOC

· .	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	10000	0	10000
Mechanical	0	0	0
Electrical	4800000	0	4800000
Substations	1404000	0	1404000
Communications	175673	0	175673
IDC	40000	0	40000
Contingency	0	0	0
Total	6429673	0	6429673

### Salt Pond GT Relocation Project Transmission Estimates

 Location
 3
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

 4=
 Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	10000	0	10000
Mechanical	0	0	0
Electrical	6525000	0	6525000
Substations	864000	0	864000
Communications	155666	0	155666
IDC	40000	0	40000
Contingency	0	0	0
Total	7594666	0	7594666

### Salt Pond GT Relocation Project Transmission Estimates

 Location
 4
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

 4=
 Old Perlican

WAICOC

:	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	10000	. 0	10000
Mechanical	0	. 0	0
Electrical	3300000	0	3300000
Substations	173000	0	173000
Communications	137400	0	137400
IDC	40000	. 0	40000
Contingency	0	0	0
Total	3660400	0	3660400

 Location
 1
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

 4=
 Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	5725000	0	5725000
Electrical	843000	. 0	843000
Substations	944000		944000
Communications	177548		177548
IDC	100000		100000
Contingency	0	_	0
Total	8299548	0	8299548

Location

2

1=

Wesleyville Twillingate Trepassey Old Perlican

2=

3= 4=

WAICOC

·.	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	5725000	0	5725000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	1031000	·	1031000
Communications	175673		175673
IDC	100000		100000
Contingency	0		0
Total	8384673	O	8384673

 Location
 3
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

4= Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	5725000	0	5725000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	976000		976000
Communications	155666		155666
IDC	100000		100000
Contingency	0	Mes.	0
Total	8309666	0	8309666

Location

4

1= Wesleyville 2= Twillingate

2= 3≂

Trepassey

4=

Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	5725000	0	5725000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	645000		645000
Communications	137400		137400
IDC	100000		100000
Contingency	0		0
Total	7960400	0	7960400

 Location
 1
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

 4=
 Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	210000		210000
Mechanical	6505000	. 0	6505000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	934000		934000
Communications	177548		177548
IDC	70000		70000
Contingency	0		0
Total	8739548	0	8739548

Location 2 1= Wesleyville Twillingate 2= Trepassey Old Perlican 3=

4=

WAICOC 8.42%

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	210000		210000
Mechanical	6505000	0	6505000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	1021000		1021000
Communications	175673		175673
IDC	70000		70000
Contingency	0		0
Total	8824673	0	8824673

 Location
 3
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

 4=
 Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	210000		210000
Mechanical	6505000	0	6505000
Electrical	843000	. 0	843000
Substations	966000		966000
Communications	155666		155666
IDC	70000	•	70000
Contingency	0		0
Total	8749666	0	8749666

 Location
 4
 1=
 Wesleyville

 2=
 Twillingate

 3=
 Trepassey

4= Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	210000		210000
Mechanical	6505000	0	6505000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	635000		635000
Communications	137400		137400
IDC	70000		70000
Contingency	0		0
Total	8400400	0	8400400

# **Greenhill GT Relocation - Estimates**

Location

1=

Wesleyville Twillingate N/A

2= 3=

4=

N/A

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	660000		660000
Mechanical	944000	0	944000
Electrical	913000	509000	404000
Substations	452000		452000
Communications	177548		177548
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	112155		112155
Total	3308703	509000	2799703

# **Greenhill GT Relocation - Estimates**

Location

2

1=

Wesleyville Twillingate N/A

2=

3= 4=

N/A

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	660000	·	660000
Mechanical	944000	. 0	944000
Electrical	913000	509000	404000
Substations	539000		539000
Communications	175673		175673
IDC	50000		50000
Contingency	111967		111967
Total	3393640	509000	2884640

Location

3

1=

2= 3= N/A N/A Trepassey

4=

Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	3450000	0	3450000
Electrical	843000	- 0	843000
Substations	941000		941000
Communications	155666		155666
IDC ·	100000		100000
Contingency	0		0
Total	5999666	0	5999666

Location

4

1= 2= N/A N/A

3=

Trepassey

4=

Old Perlican

WAICOC

	Total Capital	Budgeted (w/timing adj.)	Incremental Capital
Civil	510000		510000
Mechanical	2800000	0	2800000
Electrical	843000	0	843000
Substations	610000		610000
Communications	137400	·	137400
IDC	100000		100000
Contingency	0		0
Total	5000400	0	5000400

Appendix D
Operating Cost Estimates

### Wesleyville Operating Costs

			Cos	its				Benefits Capacity Credit	Total	Net
Alternative	Labour/Parts	Fuei	Telecomm	Total	Current	Incremental	Generation	Capacity Credit		
Relocation	75000	30000	10000	115000	60000	55000	3000	o	3000	52000
				anden		. Fonnon	n.	6		90000
<u>Tranamisalon</u>	4 SE0000	0.22		AODOO	ALAKSAN SAMANAN SAMAN	20000		362/1/ 22/36/06 THE TOTAL		
New Stationary Diesels	75000	30000	10000	115000	0	115000	7000	147000	154000	-39000
						115000	7000	1/7000	154000	-39000
New Mobile Diesels	75000	30000	10000	115000	<u> 0</u>	s-#116000	/000			
	1						•			

# Twillingate Operating Costs

1			Cos	its				Benefits	Tatal	Net
Alternative	Labour/Parts	Fuel	Telecomm	Total	Current	incremental	Generation	Capacity Credit	Total	IVEL
Relocation	75000	35000	10000	120000	60000	60000	4500	0	4500	55500
Tiransmission	70000	Q. Q.	10000	B0000 .	ur is o	80000	1.31 a. 0	, <u>(</u> 0, <u>)</u>	0.	80000
New Stationary Diesels	75000	35000	10000	120000	0 /	120000	8500	147000	155500	-35500
New Mobile Diesels	7500U	350 <b>0</b> 0	10000	120000	.0	120000 2	8500	147000 <u></u> 3	155500 4 44	±35500:

# Trepassey Operating Costs

!	<b>[</b>		Ços			In a semantal	Generation	Benefits Capacity Credit	Total	Net
Alternative	Labour/Parts	Fuel	Telecomm	Total	Current	Incremental	Generation			
		47000	10000	132000	60000	72000	8000	0	8000	64000
Relocation	75000	47000		95000		95000	O	C C	0	95000
Transmission:	8500Q	0	10000	, youu		4444	3862000000000000000000000000000000000000			
	75000	47000	10000	132000	0	132000	12000	147000	159000	-27000
New Stationary Diesels					A. S.	=132 <b>d</b> 00	12000	147000	159000	-27000
New Mobile Diesels	75000	47000/23*	10000	4 3 4 3 2 0 0 0 1 3		n e		11		1

#### Old Perlican Operating Costs

I			Cos		O company	Incremental	Generation	Benefits Capacity Credit	Total	Net
Alternative	Labour/Parts	Fuel	Telecomm	Total	Current	Moremental				
	75000	50000	10000	135000	60000	75000	8500	0	8500	66500
Relocation	75000			*\ 550 <b>0</b> 0		55000	0	O a supplied	0	55000,
Transmission	45000 P.A.	is in Oams	10000	2,00000	a trade a series		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY O		
040 3230 2	75000	50000	10000	135000	0	135000	12500	147000	159500	-24500
New Stationary Diesels	73000		Company and a second of the se			135000	12500	147000	159500	-24500
New Mobile Diesels	25.000 to 1	500Q0 to	10000	135000				A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		i

Appendix E Levelizing Worksheets

#### Salt Pond GT Relocation Project Levelizing Worksheet

Time Horizon (years) Weighted Average Cost Of Capital 60 8.44%

#### Wesleyville Site

Alternative	Relocate SPT	Transmission	Stationary Diesel	Mobile Diesel	Relocate GRH
NPV (Y60)*	\$8,001,212.00	\$12,552,000.00	\$15,090,000.00	\$15,789,000.00	\$8,702,000.00
Levelized**	\$680,568.54	\$1,067,650.29	\$1,283,527.96	\$1,342,983.62	\$740,176.29
<b>Cust Minutes</b>	1111062	1443009	1366747	1366747	1051600
\$/CM	\$0.6125	\$0.7399	\$0.9391	\$0.9826	\$0.7039

#### **Twillingate Site**

Alternative	Relocate SPT	Transmission	Stationary Diesel	Mobile Diesel	Relocate GRH
NPV (Y60)*	\$8,035,000.00	\$11,138,000.00	\$15,276,000.00	\$15,976,000.00	\$8,888,000.00
Levelized**	\$683,442.49	\$947,378.02	\$1,299,348.78	\$1,358,889.50	\$755,997.12
-Cust Minutes	1033329	1427951	1289014	1289014	973900
\$/CM	\$0.6614	\$0.6635	\$1.0080	\$1.0542	\$0.7763

#### **Trepassey Site**

Alternative	Relocate SPT	Transmission	Stationary Diesel	Mobile Diesel	Refurbished 10MW
NPV (Ý60)*	\$8,062,000.00	\$13,212,000.00	\$15,303,000.00	\$16,003,000.00	\$12,169,000.00
Levelized**	\$685,739.06	\$1,123,788.69	\$1,301,645.35	\$1,361,186.08	\$1,035,073.01
<b>Cust Minutes</b>	1024873	1274457	1280558	1280558	1280558
\$/CM	\$0.6691	\$0.8818	\$1.0165	\$1.0630	\$0.8083

#### **Old Perlican Site**

Alternative	Relocate SPT	Transmission	Stationary Diesel	Mobile Diesel	Refurbished 8MW
NPV (Y60)*	\$7,572,000.00	\$6,582,000.00	\$14,813,000.00	\$15,513,000.00	\$12,189,000.00
Levelized**	\$644,060.55	\$559,852.95	\$1,259,966.84	\$1,319,507.57	\$1,036,774.17
<b>Cust Minutes</b>	1676847	1922608	1932533	1932533	1932533
\$/CM	\$0.3841	\$0.2912	\$0.6520	\$0.6828	\$0.5365

#### Notes:

\* NPV Y60 value taken from Appendix A, Cumulative Net Present Worth in Year 60 of each alternative.

Levelized value calculated from annual payment required over study time horizon to match NPV Y60 value.